

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 VERNON WAYNE McNEAL,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-02-1413 LKK KJM P

12 vs.

13 DAVID RUNNELS, Warden,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner is a state prison inmate proceeding pro se with a petition for a writ of
17 habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On June 8, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. On June 30, 2005 and
22 August 8, 2005, petitioner was granted an additional thirty days to file objections. Petitioner has
23 filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

26 /////

1 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
2 proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 8, 2005, are adopted in full; and
5 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

6 DATED: September 23, 2005.

7
8 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10 /mcne1413.805
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26